

BLM - Bishop Field Office

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #7(c) – Narrative does not support the response with regard to educational programs, maps and/or brochures.

Ground Operations – Trail
Maintenance 2011

G10-01-05-G01

Project Description

- No comment

Project Cost Estimate

- No comment

Evaluation Criteria

- #4 – Narrative does not support "Meetings with stakeholders". Applicant may want to list dates of this/these events.
- #5 – Narrative does not support "and explain how each partner(s) will be utilized in the project".

Restoration – Lone Pine Native Propagation Center	G10-01-05-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – Narrative does not support response with regard to,” Site Monitoring...” and “Incorporation of universally recognized ‘Best Management Practices’. #7 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...and identify dates of the meetings or calls”. #10 – The narrative does not support response. Please provide additional information on how the underlying problem is addressed and alleviated before project implementation. #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	

Restoration – Manzanar	G10-01-05-R02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A and C – Inconsistent response relative to size of specific Project Area(s). 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equipment Use Expense – Applicant may want to provide details regarding “Other-Motorcycle Repairs”. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – Narrative does not support response with regard to, “Identification of alternate OHV routes”. #7 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...and identify dates of the meetings or calls”. #10 – The narrative does not support response. #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	

Restoration – Wilderness Route	G10-01-05-R03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Need reference document. • #7 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...and identify dates of the meetings or calls”. • #10 – The narrative does not support response. Please provide additional information describing how the underlying problem has been effectively addressed and resolved. • #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project 	

Law Enforcement	G10-01-05-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	